



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,390	08/22/2000	Reinhold Berberich	4326 US	1781

7590

02/23/2004

Martin A. Farber  
Suite 473  
866 United Nations Plaza  
New York, NY 10017

EXAMINER

PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2136

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/644,390

Applicant(s)

BERBERICH, REINHOLD

Examiner

Pramila Parthasarathy

Art Unit

2136

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date #6 and #7.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the application filed on 08/21/2000. Claims 1 – 7 were received for consideration. Preliminary amendments to the claims (Paper No.5) were filed on 8/22/2000. Claims 1 – 7 are currently being considered.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

2. Two initialed and dated copy of Applicant's IDS form 1449; Paper No.6 and No.7 are attached to the Office action. The examiner did not consider the foreign language references with no translation.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1 – 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Siedentop et al. (U.S. Patent 6,329,909).

Regarding Claim 1, Siedentop discloses: a device for actuating a security device, preferably for securing a motor vehicle against unauthorized use, comprising

a control unit having means for transmitting a first coded electromagnetic signal (stimulus signal) (Column 2 lines 31 – 34),

a portable transmitter (radio key), having means for receiving the stimulus signal and for transmitting a second coded electromagnetic signal (enable signal) (Column 1 lines 63 – 67), and wherein the control unit is connected to the security device and actuates the latter if the enable signal is received and recognized (Column 3 lines 23 – 31), wherein both the control unit and the radio key have means for altering carrier frequency of the coded electromagnetic signals and wherein they alter said frequency during signal transmission in a manner known only to the control unit and to the radio key (Column 4 lines 1 – 10).

Claim 2 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Siedentop discloses, wherein: the radio key has a narrowband transmitter, transmission frequency of which is controllable (Column 4 lines 2 – 4, Column 6 lines 22 – 24 and Column 10 lines 36 – 42) and wherein the radio key alters its transmission frequency over intervals of time when transmitting signals (Column 10 lines 36 – 42 and lines 50 – 60).

Claim 4 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Siedentop discloses, wherein: manner in which the carrier frequency is to be changed is contained in the stimulus signal as coded information item for transmission to the radio key (Column 2 lines 12 – 16 and Column 8 lines 49 – 54).

Claim 7 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Siedentop discloses, wherein the signal transmission takes place over a spectrum of different carrier frequencies and wherein the enable signal contains a coded information item for modulating said spectrum (Column 4 lines 1 – 7 and Column 10 lines 36 – 59).

Claim 3 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 2. Furthermore, Siedentop discloses, wherein: said control unit has a tunable narrowband receiver having the same frequency range as the transmitter in the radio key (Column 10 lines 50 – 59).

Claim 5 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 4. Furthermore, Siedentop discloses, wherein: the stimulus signal contains a random number and the carrier frequencies are determined by applying a cryptoalgorithm to said stimulus signal and, in this context, particularly to the random number contained in the stimulus signal (Column 7 lines 64 to Column 8 line 3).

Claim 6 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 4. Furthermore, Siedentop discloses, wherein: selection of the carrier frequency at the receiver and transmitter ends is determined, using a coded information item in the stimulus signal, by means of

a cryptographic method in the radio key and in the control unit independently of one another (Column 6 lines 17 – 31 and Column 10 lines 50 – 59).

**Conclusion**

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 **or**  
**faxed to:** (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.


Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pramila Parthasarathy whose telephone number is 703-305-8912. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a.m. To 5:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

**Pramila Parthasarathy**  
**Patent Examiner**  
**703-305-8912**  
**February 18, 2004**

  
AYAZ SHEIKH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100